

Conroffs Colliery &c

Cardigan April 16th 1866

My Dear Sir,
I enclose herein a copy of a Note rec^d from
Messrs Mason & Elkington what do you advise, should be done
I shall feel much obliged if you will let me hear from you -
I hope you are all well -

Yours very truly
R. D. Jenkins

Mr Bowen

R. D. Jenkins Esq^r
Cardigan

Pembrey Copper Works
Burry Port, Carmarthenshire
12th April 1866

Dear Sir)

Will you kindly inform us whether Mr. Colby will
give us permission under our Lease, to penetrate through the
boundary of his lands to the East, into the adjoining property
of Tyghwyn now owned by Mr. J^r. Buckley of Stanlly, under
our Lease we have no power, but as we have exhausted all
his coal to the deep on the East side of Incline Plane; we thought
you would not object, as you would not lose by it, but at
the same time you would be gainers by 1st Per Ton for way
leaves -

Waiting your reply to this

We are Dear Sir

yours very truly

Mason & Elkington

The Moat
April 18th 1866

Observations on Messrs Mason & Elkington's letter to R. D.
Jenkins Esq^r dated 12th of April inst -

Re Conroffs Colliery

They state that they have exhausted all of Mr. Colby's coal to
the deep on the East side of the Incline Plane - But does not
state how much remain to be worked on the West side, where
the Bulk of Mr. Colby's Coal is situated. - If Messrs M & E
are allowed now to Penetrate through the boundary into Tyghwyn
farm, what guarantee have you of their working the remainder
of Mr. Colby's Coal to the West, if it be not worked by them,
neither Mr. Colby, nor any other person can work it in future,
in consequence of the entrance of Craig Capel level being in Earl
Cawdor's property, and the Coal Yard &c has been purchased by
Messrs M & E from the Bousers. - Messrs M & E can work the Tyghwyn
Coal at about two-thirds the expence of that under Conroffs. -

I enclose herein a copy of a Note which Messrs Mason & Elkington what do you advise, should be done I shall feel much obliged if you will let me hear from you -
I hope you are all well -

Yours very truly
R.D. Jenkins

Mr Bowen

R.D. Jenkins Esq^r
Cardigan

Pembrey Copper Works
Burry Port, Carmarthenshire
12th April 1866

Dear Sir

Will you kindly inform us whether Mr. Colby will give us permission under our Lease, to penetrate through the boundary of his lands to the East, into the adjoining property of Tyghwyn now owned by Mr. J^r. Buckley of Stanelly, under our Lease we have no power, but as we have exhausted all his Coal to the deep on the East side of Incline Plane; we thought you would not object, as you would not lose by it, but at the same time you would be gainers by it. Per son for way leaves -

Waiting your reply to this

We are Dear Sir

Yours very truly

Mason & Elkington

The Moat
April 18th 1866

Observations on Messrs Mason & Elkington's letter to R.D. Jenkins Esq^r dated 12th of April inst -

Re Centros Colliery

They state that they have exhausted all of Mr. Colby's Coal to the deep on the East side of the Incline Plane - But does not state how much remain to be worked on the West side, where the Bulk of Mr. Colby's Coal is situated. - If Messrs M & E are allowed now to Penetrate through the boundary into Tyghwyn Farm, what guarantee have you of their working the remainder of Mr. Colby's Coal to the West, if it be not worked by them, neither Mr. Colby, nor any other person can work it in future, in consequence of the entrance of Craig Capel level being in Earl Cawdor's property, and the Coal yard &c has been purchased by Messrs M & E from the Bowers. - Messrs M & E can work the Tyghwyn Coal at about two-thirds the expence of that under Centros. -

I was taken suddenly ill on Monday morning the 5th March last and am still confined to my Bed, the rest of the family are in health -

I am, Dear Sir,

Cenrhos Colliery &c

Cardigan April 27th 1866

My dear Mr Bowen,

I hope this will find you better than when you last week - The weather is now become very favourable I enclose herewith a copy of a note which I have received from Mason & Elkington & I shall feel much obliged and if you will let me have your opinion as what they say. Had Mr. Colby better consent - I hope all your family are well with best regards Believe me

Yrs. vly
Rich^d Jenkins

Mr Bowen, The Moat

Pembrey Copper Works

Apr. 23rd 1866

Dear Sir

In reply to your note of the 21st Inst, we beg say that the quality of the coal under Fydwyn Farm (being so much further to the East is not sufficiently good to admit of our using it alone for our consumption at the Copper works and therefore our workings in that direction would be of a very limited character. It is rather a difficult subject to explain by letter, if you would name a day, we would send our Mr. Rogers down to see you, and he would explain the matter to you, by reference to our Colliery Map which would we have no doubt be more satisfactory to you

To R. D Jenkins Esq^r
Cardigan -

We are, Dear Sir
Yours faithfully
Mason & Elkington

Cenrhos Colliery

Remarks with respect to Mr. Elkington having permission to sink the surface level into Fydwyn -

That in the event of Mr. Colby giving permission. An agreement should be made with the proprietor of Fydwyn with respect to the payment of the 1st per foot way leave, for in case Mr. Elkington should abandon his agreement with the proprietor of Fydwyn, and any other parties taking the Fydwyn Colliery, Mr. Colby will not be able to receive any way leave from other parties; neither can Mr. Colby stop or drop the Level once it has entered Fydwyn or any other adjoining property without entering into an agreement to that effect with the proprietor of Fydwyn farms, also Mr. Elkington should sink an Air Pit or shaft down to the level on Mr. Colby's property.

you last week - The weather is now become very favourable
I enclose herewith a copy of a note which I have received
from Mason & Elkington & I shall feel much obliged and if
you will let me have your opinion as what they say. had
Mr. Colby better consent - I hope all your family are well with
best regards Believe me
yrs. vry D^y
Rich^d Jenkins

Mr Bowen, The Moat -

Dear Sir/

Pembrey Copper Works
Apr. 23rd 1866

In reply to your note of the 21st Inst, we beg
say that the ~~quality~~ of the coal under Tylwyn Farm (being so
much further to the East is not sufficiently good to admit of our
using it alone for our consumption at the Copper works and the
fore our workings in that direction would be of a very limited
character. It is rather a difficult subject to explain by letter,
if you would name a day, we would send our Mr. Rogers down
to see you, and he would explain the matter to you, by reference
our Colliery Map which would we have no doubt be more satisfactory
to you

To R. D Jenkins Esq^r
Cardigan -

We are, Dear Sir
Yours faithfully
Mason & Elkington

Genshops Colliery

Remarks with respect to Mr. Elkington having permission to
the surface level into Tylwyn -

That in the event of Mr. Colby giving permission, an agreement
should be made with the proprietor of Tylwyn with respect to the
payment of the 1st for Ton way leave, for in case Mr. Elkington should
abandon his agreement with the proprietor of Tylwyn, and any other
parties taking the Tylwyn Colliery, Mr. Colby will not be able to receive
any way leave from other parties; neither can Mr. Colby stop or
up the Level once it has entered Tylwyn or any other adjoining
property without entering into an agreement to that effect with
the proprietor of Tylwyn farm, also Mr. Elkington should stop
an Air Pit or shaft down to the level on Mr. Colby's property
at not less than Ten yards from the boundary of Tylwyn farm

That only One Level or heading shall be permitted to be driven
through the forty yards of coal reserved by the present Lease
granted by Mr. Colby to Mess^{rs} Mason & Elkington -

in any one place through that extent. Also that the Proprietor of Fydwyn should not permit or suffers the Level or any other heading or excavation to be driven in any direction into any adjoining property without the Consent of Mr Colby, under the penalty of

That in the event of any coal or other minerals wrought under Fydwyn, or any other adjoining lands and wrought or raised to the surface by any other means than through Cwm Capel level, then the way or water leave should be two pence per Ton on all such Coal &c so wrought & landed

J. Bowen

June 20. 1866

Memo June 20th 1866 R. D Jenkins Esq^r came to Moat about 5 P.M at which time I gave him the above &

Cardigan Jan^y 28th 1867

My Dear Sir) I enclose herewith a copy of a Letter which I have rec^d. from Mess^{rs} Mann & Elkington, I shall feel much obliged by you letting me know your opinion on the matter by return of post - If you can - As I have put off replying to them for a few days and Mr Colby is most anxious to know what your opinion is on the subject. Do you think we had better allow them to breake through into Mr Buckley's land, Mr Buckley will not consent to the proposition originally suggested by you - What land of Mr Colby's is there situate to the East of Fydwyn referred to by them Is it Pant & Trepatriidge? Yours v^y truly & in haste
R D Jenkins

I hope you are all well

J. Bowen Esq^r

The Moat -

Pembrey Capper Works
Burry Post 25 January 6

Jenkins Esq^r

Dear Sir) The Pemberton Trustees are pressing us for our answer respecting the field under our Mr. Elingtons house and which we cannot definitely reply to, before knowing the terms upon which will grant us a Lease for the land share belonging to Mr. Colby, running through the centre of the field in question, we shall feel exceedingly obliged by an answer if possible by return of Post, so as to allow us to communicate our decision to the Pemberton Trustees, If you remember Mr. E explained the position of the land share to you at Kidwelly — Mr. Buckley is also anxious to know your decision as to our penetrating into his Coal field to the East of Mr. Colby's property (Mr. Tylwyn) and whether upon consideration you will modify the conditions as contained in your letter of the 25 June last. upon further Enquiry we find that Mr. Colby has property to the East of Tylwyn farm, which coal we should be able to work we certainly do think that you ought to permit us to work this coal for the usual way leave of 10 feet for without other restrictions, as Mr. Colby has only now a very limited area of Coal unworked at Cwm Capel and if it will answer our purpose to work that coal, we feel considering the large amount of Royalty we have paid Mr. Colby, we ought not to put any difficulty in our way, should we consider our advantage to work other coal, as he would be in receipt of the way leave, and it will come to the same in the end, as we should work less of Col. Steppney or Ashburnham's coal — If the matter is delayed much longer it will put us to further expence in reopening the Old heading so we should be glad if you will come to a decision at once, or we must make arrangements with Mr. Buckley independently of Mr. Colby, as we wish to secure the coal under the Tylwyn property. We should be glad if you will let us know your decision by Tuesday next at latest, as other members of our firm are coming down and we shall have to come to an understanding

answer respecting the field under the
and which we cannot definitely reply to, before knowing the
terms upon which will grant us a Lease for the land share
belonging to Mr. Colby, running through the centre of the field
in question, we shall feel exceedingly obliged by an answer
if possible by return of Post, so as to allow us to communicate
our decision to the Pemberton Trustees, If you remember
Mr. E explained the profusion use, of the land share to
you at Kidwelly — Mr Buckley is also anxious to know
your decision as to our penetrating into his Coal field
to the East of Mr Colby's property (viz Tylwyn) and whether
upon consideration you will modify the conditions as
contained in your letter of the 25 June last. upon further
Enquiry we find that Mr. Colby has property to the East
of Tylwyn farm, which coal we should be able to work
we certainly do think that you ought to permit us to work
this coal for the usual way leave of 10 feet for without
other restrictions, as Mr Colby has only now a very limited
area of Coal unworked at Cwm Capel and if it will allow
our purpose to work that coal, we feel considering the large
amount of Royalty we have paid Mr Colby, he ought
to put any difficulty in our way, should we consider
our advantage to work other coal, as he would be in
receipt of the way leave, and it will come to the same
in the end, as we should work less of Col Stephens or
Ashburnham's coal — If the matter is delayed much longer
it will put us to further Expence in reopening the Old
heading so we should be glad if you will come to a
decision at once, or we must make arrangements with
Mr Buckley independently of Mr. Colby, as we wish to
secure the coal under the Tylwyn property. We should
be glad if you will let us know your decision by Post
next at latest, as other members of our firm are coming
down, and we shall have to come to an understanding
then with Mr. Buckley.

We are D^r Sir
yours truly

Mason & Elphinstone

The Albat # 1567
4 Jan 30, 1867

Sir/ I received your letter of 28 inst enclosing a copy
of Messrs. Mason & Elkington's letter to you of the 25 inst, last
night at Kidwelly on my return from Llandovery

I cannot comprehend what objections Mr. Buckley has to
enter into an agreement with Mr. Colby with respect to the
payment of 1st for Ton way leave for the coal to be worked
under his farm (Fylgwyn) supposing that Mr. Buckley be
willing to let his coal to Messrs. M & E at 6th for Ton royalty, why
not let the coal to Messrs. M & E at 7th for Ton - Mr. Buckley
paying Mr. Colby the 1st for Ton for way leave, it would amount
to the same to Messrs. M & E. as if they paid Mr. Buckley the
6th for Ton royalty and Mr. Colby the 1st for Ton way leave

I am of opinion that Mr. Colby should not give his consent
to permit Messrs. M & E to drive Cwm Capel level into Fylgwyn
property for the reasons I suggested to you when you were here
on the 20th June last, and which I gave you in writing on that day.

I do not see any thing unreasonable in the proposition then
suggested - with respect to Messrs. M & E remarks that they would
work less of Col. Steynor or Lord Ashburnhams coal if they worked the
coal under Mr. Buckley's property - I believe that there be but a
small portion of that coal unworked being a small extent inter-
mixed in Mr. Colby's property (see map on back of the Lease)

With respect to Messrs. M & E remarks of "reopening the old heading"
(he means the level thro' the coal) are there a clause in the Colliery
lease, that the Lessees are bound at all times to keep the Colliery
workings in a proper state of repair? - I enclose herewith
the Plan of Fylgwyn & adjoining properties, and have drawn in
pencil on the same the supposed level course of the Cwm Capel
level thro' Fylgwyn &c. viz from Mr. Colby's property on the West
at the place marked A by which you will perceive that but
a small portion of Fylgwyn coal can be worked by virtue
of Cwm Capel or Serrhops surface level, and none of Mr. Colby's
(under Trepartridge) to the East of Fylgwyn - It is probable
that Messrs. M & E will sink an Engine Pit or shaft at the place
marked B on the Plan for working the coal below level
other than have it sink at the place marked C

I cannot comprehend what objections Mr. Buckley has to
enter into an agreement with Mr. Colby with respect to the
payment of 1st per Ton way leave for the Coal to be worked
under his farm (Fylgwyn) supposing that Mr. Buckley be
willing to let his Coal to Mess^{rs}. M & E at 6th per Ton royalty, Why
not let the Coal to Mess^{rs}. M & E at 7th per Ton - Mr. Buckley
paying Mr. Colby the 1st per Ton for way leave, it would amount
to the same to Mess^{rs}. M & E. as if they paid Mr. Buckley the
6th per Ton royalty and Mr. Colby, the 1st per Ton way leave

I am of Opinion that Mr. Colby should not give his consent
to permit Mess^{rs}. M & E to drive Cwm Capel level into Fylgwyn
Property for the reasons I suggested to you when you were here
on the 20th June last, and which I gave you in writing on that day.

I do not see any thing unreasonable in the proposition then
suggested - with respect to Mess^{rs}. M & E remarks that they would
work less of Col. Stepry or Lord Ashburnhams Coal if they worked the
Coal under Mr. Buckley's property - I believe that there be but a
small portion of that Coal unworked being a small extent inter-
mixed in Mr. Colby's property (see Map on Back of the Lease)

With respect to Mess^{rs}. M & E remarks of "reopening the old heading"
(he means the level thro' the Coal) are there a clause in the Colliery
Lease, that the Lessees are bound at all times to keep the Colliery
Workings in a proper state of repair? - I enclose herewith
the Plan of Fylgwyn & adjoining Properties, and have drawn in
Pencil on the same the supposed level course of the Cwm Capel
level thro' Fylgwyn &c. viz from Mr. Colby's property on the West
at the place marked A by which you will perceive that but
a small portion of Fylgwyn Coal can be worked by virtue
of Cwm Capel or Gentrhos surface level, and none of Mr. Colby's
(under Teepartridge) to the East of Fylgwyn. - It is probable
that Mess^{rs}. M & E will sink an Engine Pit or shaft at the place
marked B on the Plan for working the Coal below level
after they have finished working that portion of Fylgwyn
Coal above Cwm Capel surface level; they may then give
to the Lease of Gentrhos Colliery to Mr. Colby and be
of the payment of steep

In that case, how will Mr Colby be paid the 1^o for so
way leave on Tyghwyn coal, if Mr Buckley decline or refuse
to be a party in the agreement for securing to Mr Colby
the payment of 1^o or 2^o for so way leave as suggested in
my Report of the 20th of June last - whether the Tyghwyn Co
be worked by Mess^{rs} M & C or any other party -

Please to send me a copy of this letter at your convenience
not having time to make one, being obliged to leave home
this morning in consequence of my sister-in-law's funeral
being tomorrow - Please to return me the enclosed Maps &
the copy

R. D. Jenkins Esq^r -
Attorney
Cardigan

I am, Sir
yours &c
written in haste
W. J. Jones

P.S. Have you heard from Mr. Tompson respecting the road
I have not seen nor heard from him since we were
at Kidwelly -
